



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/708,658

03/17/2004

Rodrick A. HERDMAN

EZL-001M

2657

26868 7590 07/18/2008

HASSE & NESBITT LLC  
8837 CHAPEL SQUARE DRIVE  
SUITE C  
CINCINNATI, OH 45249

EXAMINER

GALL, LLOYD A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3673

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/18/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/708,658	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HERDMAN, RODRICK A.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Lloyd A. Gall	<b>Art Unit</b> 3673	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Lloyd A. Gall. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Daniel F. Nesbitt. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 17 July 2008.

Type: a)☒ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference  
c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Identification of prior art discussed: Monahan (002).

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)☒ was not reached. h)☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Nesbitt discussed the change tool of the instant application, and argued that the Monahan reference does not teach a change tool configured to raise all of the change balls, and that the Monahan reference is not intended to function in this manner. Mr. Nesbitt indicated that he would discuss this further in his next response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Lloyd A. Gall/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3673

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required